

Attendees: Mark Broyles, Adam Glick, Katy Divittorio, Diana White, Mike Jacobs

Meeting Start Time: 12:05 p.m.

1. Approval of Minutes

Adam Glick called for a motion to approve the March meeting minutes. Mark Broyles moved to approve, and Mike Jacobs seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

2. ABOD Meeting Topics

Discussion focused on the upcoming Auraria Board of Directors (ABOD) meeting scheduled for the following day, which may be extended. Adam reviewed the agenda and noted the timing of board training, suggesting it may be more effective earlier in the year alongside SACAB and FACAB onboarding; however, Diana White noted this timing has been consistent for the past two years. A key agenda item is the Tivoli Facilities Program Plan vote, which will determine whether the project is submitted to the state for potential capital construction funding. While this step positions the project for future funding, it has generated controversy, particularly around timing and institutional concerns, and may not result in a unanimous vote.

Mike Jacobs expressed concern that the project may proceed regardless of faculty input, while Adam clarified that FACAB does not have a vote but can provide feedback. He acknowledged concerns about stakeholder engagement but stated that AHEC made strong efforts to gather input through meetings and outreach, despite limited participation. Diana White agreed, noting AHEC relies on institutions to disseminate information to faculty and students. She highlighted that concerns intensified around a proposed increase in square footage, which led to additional working groups, particularly due to reactions from CU Denver. Adam emphasized that the program plan remains conceptual and that additional opportunities for input, negotiation, and refinement will follow approval. He also noted that a non-unanimous vote reflects a healthy governance process.

Further discussion addressed representation and voting dynamics. Adam confirmed that Peter and Yolanda will represent CCD until a new president begins in October. Questions about space allocation revealed that while multiple planning iterations exist, no minimum guarantees have been finalized. Diana explained that statutory voting procedures could shift decision-making to gubernatorial appointees if institutional votes are split. She noted MSU Denver has expressed strong support for moving forward, while CCD has concerns regarding space and student fees. Adam indicated there are likely enough votes for the plan

to pass and emphasized the importance of moving forward to secure a place in the state funding queue.

3. Bylaw Update and Working Time

The group discussed updating bylaws to reflect statutory changes. Adam can draft initial revisions and coordinate with institutions regarding FACAB membership terms and representation, including the need for three members per institution. Mike Jacobs noted uncertainty regarding future MSU representation. The group discussed timing for approval, with August or September preferred, though Adam suggested preparing a draft for review at the next FACAB meeting to ensure readiness.

4. Open Topics

Katy Divittorio asked about the status of the lockdown policy and whether it was new or an update. Diana White explained that only the current policy exists, as students requested additional time before updates are finalized. The group discussed creating a FACAB Google Drive to share documents. Skip suggested that future policy drafts be shared with FACAB when presented to AEC, and Adam agreed to distribute the draft when available. The group also discussed creating opportunities for collaboration across faculty assemblies on broader topics, such as AI. Additionally, it was noted that Bishane Whitmore has been appointed as the new president of CCD, bringing a background from the Air Force.

5. Meeting Adjourned

The meeting ended at 12:52 p.m.